arXiv:1205.2069v1 [hep-th] 9 May 2012

Multiparticle Form Factors of the Principal Chiral Model At Large N

Axel Cortés Cubero*
Baruch College, The City University of New York, 17 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10010, U.S.A. and
The Graduate School and University Center, The City University of New York, 365 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10016, U.S.A.

We study the sigma model with $SU(N)\times SU(N)$ symmetry in $1+1$ dimensions. The two- and four-particle form factors of the Noether current operators are found, by combining the integrable-bootstrap method with the large-N expansion.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Pg, 11.15.Tk, 11.40.-q, 11.55.Ds, 02.30.Ik

I. INTRODUCTION

The quantum principal chiral sigma model is completely integrable in one space and one time dimension [1], [2]. Its action is

$$S=\frac{N}{2g_0^2}\int d^2x\,\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathrm{Tr}\partial_\mu U(x)^\dagger\partial_\mu U(x), \tag{I.1}$$

where $U(x)\in SU(N)$, $\mu,\nu=0,1$, and where $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric, $\eta^{00}=1,\eta^{11}=-1,\eta^{01}=\eta^{10}=0$. The action is invariant under the global transformation $U(x)\to V_LU(x)V_R$, for $V_L,V_R\in SU(N)$. The model is asymptotically free and has a mass gap m. There are two Noether currents,

$$j_\mu^L(x)_a^c=\frac{-iN}{2g_0^2}\partial_\mu U_{ab}(x)U^{*bc}(x),\;\; j_\mu^R(x)_b^d=\frac{-iN}{2g_0^2}U^{*da}(x)\partial_\mu U_{ab}(x), \tag{I.2}$$

where where $a,b=1,...,N.$, associated with the symmetries $U\to V_LU$ and $U\to UV_R$ respectively.

In this paper, we calculate the two- and four-excitation form factors of the current operators using a large-N expansion and the form-factor bootstrap method [3]. This approach has been used in Reference [4], to find the form factors of the renormalized field operator. We also find the two-particle form factor for all $N>2$.

In the next section, we review the exact S matrix for the chiral model. We calculate the two-particle form factors in the planar limit in Section III, and for general N in Section VI. In Section V we calculate the four-particle form factor, and we discuss our results in the final section.

II. THE EXACT S-MATRIX AND MULTIPARTICLE STATES

The sigma model has elementary particles of mass m, which carry both left and right colors. These elementary particles form bound states that obey a sine formula [5]

$$m_r=m\frac{\sin(\frac{\pi r}{N})}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{N})},\;\; r=1,...,N-1, \tag{II.1}$$

where m_r is the mass of a r-particle bound state. In the large-N limit, the mass of a r-particle bound state is $m_r=mr$, for finite r. This means that there are no bound states of a finite number of elementary particles in the planar limit, since the binding energy vanishes.

We introduce particle and antiparticle creation operators $\mathfrak{A}_P^\dagger(\theta)_{ab}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_A^\dagger(\theta)_{ba}$, respectively, where θ is the particle rapidity, defined in terms of the momentum vector by $p_0=m\cosh\theta$, $p_1=m\sinh\theta$, and $a,b=1,\dots,N$ are left and right color indices, respectively. A product of creation operators acting on the vacuum in order of increasing rapidity, from left to right, gives the multiparticle state

$$|P,\theta_1,a_1,b_1;A,\theta_2,b_2,a_2;...\rangle_{\rm in}=\mathfrak{A}_P^\dagger(\theta_1)_{a_1b_2}\mathfrak{A}_A^\dagger(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\dots|0\rangle,\;\text{where }\theta_1>\theta_2>\dots. \tag{II.2}$$

---

[*] Electronic address: acortes˙cubero@gc.cuny.edu

The S matrix of two particles, with incoming rapidities θ_1 and θ_2, outgoing rapidities θ_1' and θ_2', is

$$_{\rm out}\langle P,\theta_1',c_1,d_1;P,\theta_2',c_2,d_2|P,\theta_1,a_1,b_1;P,\theta_2,a_2,b_2\rangle_{\rm in}=S_{PP}(\theta)^{c_2d_2;c_1d_1}_{a_1b_1;a_2b_2}4\pi\delta(\theta_1'-\theta_1)4\pi\delta(\theta_2'-\theta_2),$$

where $\theta=\theta_1-\theta_2$. We follow convention and call the function $S_{PP}(\theta)^{c_2d_2;c_1d_1}_{a_1b_1;a_2b_2}$ the S matrix. It is given by

$$S_{PP}(\theta)^{c_2d_2;c_1d_1}_{a_1b_1;a_2b_2}=\chi(\theta)S_{\rm CGN}(\theta)^{c_2;c_1}_{a_1;a_2}S_{\rm CGN}(\theta)^{d_2;d_1}_{b_1;b_2}, \tag{II.3}$$

where $S_{\rm CGN}(\theta)$ is the S matrix of two elementary excitations of the $SU(N)$ chiral Gross-Neveu model [6], [7]:

$$S_{\rm CGN}(\theta)^{c_2;c_1}_{a_1;a_2}=\frac{\Gamma(i\theta/2\pi+1)\Gamma(-i\theta/2\pi-1/N)}{\Gamma(i\theta/2\pi+1-1/N)\Gamma(-i\theta/2\pi)}\left(\delta^{c_1}_{a_1}\delta^{c_2}_{a_2}-\frac{2\pi i}{N\theta}\delta^{c_1}_{a_2}\delta^{c_2}_{a_1}\right),$$

and $\chi(\theta)$ is the CDD factor [8]:

$$\chi(\theta)=\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi i}{N}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{\pi i}{N}\right)}. \tag{II.4}$$

The particle-antiparticle S matrix is related to the particle-particle S matrix by crossing, *i.e.* $\theta\to\hat\theta=\pi i-\theta$. The S matrix for a particle with incoming rapidity θ_1 and outgoing rapidity θ_1', and an antiparticle with incoming rapidity θ_2 and outgoing rapidity θ_2' is

$$\begin{aligned}S_{AP}(\theta)^{d_2c_2;c_1d_1}_{a_1b_2;b_2a_2}&=S(\hat\theta,N)\\ \times&\left[\delta^{d_2}_{b_2}\delta^{c_2}_{a_2}\delta^{c_1}_{a_1}\delta^{d_1}_{b_1}-\frac{2\pi i}{N\hat\theta}\left(\delta_{a_1a_2}\delta^{c_1c_2}\delta^{d_2}_{b_2}\delta^{d_1}_{b_1}+\delta^{c_2}_{a_2}\delta^{c_1}_{a_1}\delta_{b_1b_2}\delta^{d_1d_2}\right)-\frac{4\pi^2}{N^2\hat\theta^2}\delta_{a_1a_2}\delta^{c_1c_2}\delta_{b_1b_2}\delta^{d_1d_2}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{II.5}$$

where

$$S(\theta,N)=\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi i}{N}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\hat\theta}{2}+\frac{\pi i}{N}\right)}\left[\frac{\Gamma(i\theta/2\pi+1)\Gamma(-i\theta/2\pi-1/N)}{\Gamma(i\theta/2\pi+1-1/N)\Gamma(-i\theta/2\pi)}\right]^2=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \tag{II.6}$$

The creation operators satisfy the Zamolodchikov algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_1)_{a_1b_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_2)_{a_2b_2}&=S_{PP}(\theta)^{c_2d_2;c_1d_1}_{a_1b_1;a_2b_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_2)_{c_2d_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_1)_{c_1d_1},\\ \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}&=S_{AA}(\theta)^{d_2c_2;d_1c_1}_{b_1a_1;b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{d_2c_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{d_1c_1},\\ \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_1)_{a_1b_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}&=S_{AP}(\theta)^{d_2c_2;c_1d_1}_{a_1b_1;b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{d_2c_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_1)_{c_1d_1}.\end{aligned} \tag{II.7}$$

The r-excitation form factor of an operator $\mathfrak{B}(x)$ is defined as

$$\langle 0|\mathfrak{B}(x)|I_1,\theta_1,C_1;\ldots;I_r,\theta_r,C_r\rangle=e^{-i\sum_{k=1}^r x\cdot p_k}\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{B}}_{C_1,\ldots C_r}(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_r),$$

where $I_k=P$ if the $k^{\rm th}$ excitation is a particle, and $I_k=A$ if the $k^{\rm th}$ excitation is an antiparticle, C_k is the set of indices a_k,b_k for $I_k=P$ or b_k,a_k for $I_k=A$. The x-dependence of the form factor is trivial, due to Lorentz invariance.

The vacuum expectation value of two operators $\mathfrak{B}(x)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(y)$ can be expressed in terms of form factors, using completeness of in states:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|\mathfrak{B}(x)\mathfrak{C}(y)|0\rangle&=\langle 0|\mathfrak{B}(x)|0\rangle\langle 0|\mathfrak{C}(y)|0\rangle\\ &+\sum_{r=1}^\infty\sum_{t=1}^\infty\int\frac{d\theta_1\ldots d\theta_r,d\phi_1\ldots d\phi_t}{(2\pi)^{r+t}(r+t)!}\langle 0|\mathfrak{B}(x)|P,\theta_1,a_1,b_1;\ldots;P,\theta_r,a_r,b_r;A,\phi_1,d_1,c_1;\ldots;A,\phi_t,d_t,c_t\rangle\\ &\times\langle P,\theta_1,a_1,b_1;\ldots;P,\theta_r,a_r,b_r;A,\phi_1,d_1,c_1;\ldots;A,\phi_t,d_t,c_t|\mathfrak{C}(y)|0\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{II.8}$$

III. SMIRNOV'S AXIOMS AND THE TWO-PARTICLE FORM FACTORS

In this section we calculate the first nonvanishing form factor of the current operators at large N. We will discuss only the left-handed current $j^L_\mu(x)^c_a$ in detail, since the same method yields the right-handed-current form factor.

Under a global $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ transformation, the current and the particle and antiparticle creation operators transform as

$$j^L_\mu(x) \to V_L j^L_\mu(x) V_L^\dagger, \;\; \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta) \to V_R^\dagger \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta) V_L^\dagger, \;\; \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta) \to V_L \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta) V_R.$$

Only form factors with equal number of particles and antiparticles are invariant under such global transformations. The first nontrivial form factor is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0| j^L_\mu(x)_{a_0 c_0} |A, \theta_1, b_1, a_1; P, \theta_2, a_2, b_2\rangle &= \langle 0| j^L_\mu(x)_{a_0 c_0} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1 a_1} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_2)_{a_2 b_2}|0\rangle \\ &= (p_1 - p_2)_\mu e^{-ix\cdot(p_1+p_2)} \left[F_1(\theta)\delta_{a_0 a_2}\delta_{b_1 b_2}\delta_{c_0 a_1} + F_2(\theta)\delta_{a_0 c_0}\delta_{b_1 b_2}\delta_{a_1 a_2}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{III.1}$$

for $\theta_1 > \theta_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0| j^L_\mu(x)_{a_0 c_0} |P_1, \theta_1, a_1, b_1; A, \theta_2, b_2, a_2\rangle &= \langle 0| j^L_\mu(x)_{a_0 c_0} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_2)_{a_2 b_2} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1 a_1}|0\rangle \\ &= (p_1 - p_2)_\mu e^{-ix\cdot(p_1+p_2)} \left[F_1'(\theta)\delta_{a_0 a_2}\delta_{b_1 b_2}\delta_{c_0 a_1} + F_2'(\theta)\delta_{a_0 c_0}\delta_{b_1 b_2}\delta_{a_1 a_2}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{III.2}$$

for $\theta_2 > \theta_1$, where, as before, $\theta = \theta_1 - \theta_2$. Lorentz invariance requires that the functions $F_1(\theta)$ and $F_2(\theta)$ depend only on the rapidity difference θ [3].

We next apply the scattering axiom, also known as Watson's theorem [3]. This axiom follows from the Zamolodchikov algebra (II.7) on the creation operators of the in-state. This gives a relation between $F_{1,2}(\theta)$ and $F'_{1,2}(\theta)$:

$$\langle 0| j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0 c_0} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_2)_{a_2 b_2} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1 a_1}|0\rangle = S_{AP}(\theta)^{d_1 c_1; c_2 d_2}_{a_2 b_2; b_1 a_1} \langle 0| j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0 c_0} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{d_1 c_1} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_2)_{c_2 d_2}|0\rangle. \tag{III.3}$$

After some work, these reduce to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} F_1'(\theta) &= S(\hat\theta, N)\left(1 - \frac{2\pi i}{\hat\theta}\right) F_1(\theta), \\ F_2'(\theta) &= S(\hat\theta, N)\left[\left(1 - \frac{2\pi i}{\hat\theta}\right)^2 F_2(\theta) + \frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{-2\pi i}{\hat\theta} - \frac{4\pi^2}{\hat\theta^2}\right) F_1(\theta)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{III.4}$$

In obtaining (III.4), some factors of $1/N$ in the S matrix were canceled by summing over group indices in (III.3).

We next consider the Smirnov periodicity axiom [3], which follows from crossing symmetry. For the M-excitation form factor of an operator $\mathfrak{B}(0)$, the periodicity axiom is

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 0|\mathfrak{B}(0)\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_{I_1}(\theta_1)_{C_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_{I_1}(\theta_2)_{C_2}\dots\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_{I_M}(\theta_M)_{C_M}|0\rangle \\ &\quad = \langle 0|\mathfrak{B}(0)\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_{I_M}(\theta_M - 2\pi i)_{C_M}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_{I_1}(\theta_1)_{C_1}\dots\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_{I_{M-1}}(\theta_{M-1})_{C_{M-1}}|0\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{III.5}$$

For more discussion of this axiom see References [4], [9].

Applying the periodicity axiom to our form factors (III.1), we find the two equivalent conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0| j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0 c_0} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_2)_{a_2 b_2} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1 a_1}|0\rangle &= \langle 0| j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0 c_0} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1 - 2\pi i)_{b_1 c_1} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_2)_{a_2 b_2}|0\rangle \\ \Rightarrow F'_{1,2}(\theta) &= F_{1,2}(\theta - 2\pi i), \end{aligned} \tag{III.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0| j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0 c_0} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1 a_1} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_2)_{a_2 b_2}|0\rangle &= \langle 0| j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0 c_0} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_2 - 2\pi i)_{a_2 b_2} \mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1 a_1}|0\rangle \\ \Rightarrow F_{1,2}(\theta) &= F'_{1,2}(\theta + 2\pi i). \end{aligned} \tag{III.7}$$

Combining (III.4) with (III.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(\theta - 2\pi i) &= \hat S(\theta, N)\left(\frac{\theta + \pi i}{\theta - \pi i}\right) F_1(\theta), \\ F_2(\theta - 2\pi i) &= \hat S(\theta, N)\left(\frac{\theta + \pi i}{\theta - \pi i}\right)^2 F_2(\theta) + \hat S(\theta, N)\frac{2\pi i}{N(\theta - \pi i)}\left(\frac{\theta + \pi i}{\theta - \pi i}\right) F_1(\theta), \end{aligned} \tag{III.8}$$

where we have defined the function $\hat S(\theta, N) \equiv S(\hat\theta, N)$.

The tracelessness of the current operator implies

$$\langle 0| j^L_\mu(x)^a_a |A, \theta_1, b_1, a_1; P, \theta_2, a_2, b_2\rangle = (p_1 - p_2)_\mu \left[F_1(\theta)\delta_{a_1 a_2}\delta_{b_1 b_2} + N F_2(\theta)\delta_{a_1 a_2}\delta_{b_1 b_2}\right] = 0,$$

or

$$F_2(\theta) = -\frac{F_1(\theta)}{N}. \tag{III.9}$$

The form factor is now

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1;P,\theta_2,a_2,b_2\rangle\\ &\quad = \ (p_1-p_2)_\mu\, F_1(\theta)\left[\delta_{a_0a_2}\delta_{b_1b_2}\delta_{c_0a_1} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{b_1b_2}\delta_{a_1a_2}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{III.10}$$

where $F_1(\theta)$ satisfies

$$F_1(\theta-2\pi i) = \hat{S}(\theta,N)\left(\frac{\theta+\pi i}{\theta-\pi i}\right)F_1(\theta). \tag{III.11}$$

For large N, we expand $\hat{S}(\theta,N) = 1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)$ and $F_1(\theta) = F_1^0(\theta)+\frac{1}{N}F_1^1(\theta)+\frac{1}{N^2}F_1^2(\theta)+\dots$, so that

$$F_1^0(\theta-2\pi i) = \left(\frac{\theta+\pi i}{\theta-\pi i}\right)F_1^0(\theta). \tag{III.12}$$

The general solution to (III.12) is

$$F_1^0(\theta) = \frac{g(\theta)}{\theta+\pi i}, \tag{III.13}$$

where $g(\theta)$ satisfies the periodicity condition $g(\theta-2\pi i) = g(\theta)$. The minimal choice is to take $g(\theta)=g$, a constant.

Next we determine the value of g. There is a conserved charge $Q^L_{a_0c_0}$, associated with the current operator. This charge is

$$Q^L_{a_0c_0} = \int dx^1 j^L_0(x)_{a_0c_0}.$$

We fix the value of g by requiring that the charge generates the $SU(N)$ Lie algebra:

$$Q^{L\,a}_a = 0,\quad \left[Q^{L\,c_1}_{a_2}, Q^{L\,c_2}_{a_2}\right] = i f^{c_1c_2a_3}_{a_1a_2c_3}Q^{L\,c_3}_{a_3}, \tag{III.14}$$

where the structure coefficients are

$$f^{c_1c_2a_3}_{a_1a_2c_3} = i\left(\delta^{c_2}_{a_1}\delta^{a_3}_{a_2}\delta^{c_1}_{c_3} - \delta^{c_1}_{a_2}\delta^{a_3}_{a_1}\delta^{c_2}_{c_3}\right).$$

We cross the incoming particle from Equation (III.10) to an outgoing antiparticle, *via* $\theta_2\to\theta_2-\pi i$, to find

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle A,\theta_2,b_2,a_2|j^L_0(x)_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1\rangle\\ &\quad = \ m(\cosh\theta_1+\cosh\theta_2)\exp\{-im[x^0(\cosh\theta_1-\cosh\theta_2)-x^1(\sinh\theta_1-\sinh\theta_2)]\}\\ &\qquad \times F_1(\theta+\pi i)\left(\delta_{a_0a_2}\delta_{b_1b_2}\delta_{c_0a_1} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{b_1b_2}\delta_{a_1a_2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The integral over x^1 gives the matrix element of the charge operator:

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle A,\theta_1,b_2,a_2|Q^L_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1\rangle\\ &\quad = \ (2\pi)^2 2(p_1)_0\delta(\theta_1-\theta_2)\left(\delta_{a_0a_2}\delta_{b_1b_2}\delta_{c_0a_1} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{b_1b_2}\delta_{a_1a_2}\right)F_1(\pi i).\end{aligned}$$

The matrix element of the commutator of two charges is found by inserting a complete set of one-antiparticle intermediate states:

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle A,\theta_2,b_2,a_2|\left[Q^L_{a_0c_0},Q^L_{a_4c_4}\right]|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1\rangle\\ &\quad = \ \int\frac{d\theta_3}{4\pi}\langle A,\theta_2,b_2,a_2|Q^L_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_3,b_3,a_3\rangle\langle A,\theta_3,b_3,a_3|Q^L_{a_4c_4}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1\rangle\\ &\qquad -\int\frac{d\theta_3}{4\pi}\langle A,\theta_2,b_2,a_2|Q^L_{a_4c_4}|A,\theta_3,b_3,a_3\rangle\langle A,\theta_3,b_3,a_3|Q^L_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{III.15}$$

With the choice $F(\pi i) = 1$, Equation (III.15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle A,\theta_2,b_2,a_2|\left[Q^{L\,c_0}_{a_0},Q^{L\,c_4}_{a_4}\right]|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1\rangle\\ &\quad= i f^{c_0c_4a_5}_{a_0a_4c_5}\langle A,\theta_2,b_2,a_2|Q^{L\,c_5}_{a_5}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (III.14). This fixes the constant $g = 2\pi i$.

We have not yet discussed the annihilation-pole axiom [3]. This axiom relates the form factors of M particles to the form factors of $M-2$ particles. The general multiparticle form factor of the current operator is

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1;\dots;A,\theta_l,b_l,a_l;P,\theta_{l+1},a_{l+1},b_{l+1};\dots;P,\theta_{2l},a_{2l},b_{2l};A,\theta_{n-1},b_{n-1},a_{n-1};P,\theta_n,a_n,b_n\rangle\\ &\quad= \left[p_1+\dots+p_l-(p_{l+1}+\dots+p_{2l})+p_{n-1}-p_n\right]_\mu \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)_{a_0c_0a_1\dots a_n;b_1\dots b_n}. \qquad \text{(III.16)}\end{aligned}$$

Here we have factored out the vector-valued pre-factor in square brackets, consisting of a linear combination of the particle momenta, chosen to make $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)$ a Lorentz scalar. We define a Lorentz-scalar-valued operator $\mathcal{O}_{a_0c_0}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1;\dots;A,\theta_l,b_l,a_l;P,\theta_{l+1},a_{l+1},b_{l+1};\dots;P,\theta_{2l},a_{2l},b_{2l};A,\theta_{n-1},b_{n-1},a_{n-1};P,\theta_n,a_n,b_n\rangle\\ &\quad\equiv \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)_{a_0c_0a_1\dots a_n;b_1\dots b_n}.\end{aligned}$$

The form factor has a pole at $\theta_{n-1\,n} \equiv \theta_{n-1}-\theta_n = -\pi i$, corresponding to annihilation of the $(n-1)^{\text{st}}$ and n^{th} excitations. We cross the n^{th} particle to an outgoing antiparticle, yielding

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle A,\theta_n,b_n,a_n|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1;\dots;A,\theta_l,b_l,a_l;P,\theta_{l+1},a_{l+1},b_{l+1};\dots;P,\theta_{2l},a_{2l},b_{2l};A,\theta_{n-1},b_{n-1},a_{n-1}\rangle\\ &\quad= \left[p_1+\dots+p_l-(p_{l+1}+\dots+p_{2l})+p_{n-1}+p_n\right]_\mu\\ &\qquad\times\langle A,\theta_n,b_n,a_n|\mathcal{O}_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1;\dots;A,\theta_l,b_l,a_l;P,\theta_{l+1},a_{l+1},b_{l+1};\dots;P,\theta_{2l},a_{2l},b_{2l};A,\theta_{n-1},b_{n-1},a_{n-1}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

By the generalized crossing formula [9],

$$\langle A,\theta_n,b_n,a_n|\mathcal{O}_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1;\dots;A,\theta_l,b_l,a_l;P,\theta_{l+1},a_{l+1},b_{l+1};\dots;P,\theta_{2l},a_{2l},b_{2l};A,\theta_{n-1},b_{n-1},a_{n-1}\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}&= \langle A,\theta_n,b_n,a_n|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1\rangle\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}}(\theta_2,\dots,\theta_{n-1})_{a_0c_0a_2\dots a_{n-1};b_2\dots b_{n-1}}\\ &\quad+\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}}(\theta_n-i\pi_-,\theta_1,\dots,\theta_{n-1})_{a_0c_0a_na_1\dots,a_{n-1};b_nb_1\dots b_{n-1}} \quad \text{for } \theta_n\geq\theta_1>\dots>\theta_{n-1}\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}&= \langle A,\theta_n,b_n,a_n|A,\theta_{n-1},b_{n-1},a_{n-1}\rangle\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_{2l})_{a_0c_0a_1\dots a_{2l};b_1\dots b_{2l}}\\ &\quad+\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_{n-1},\theta_n+i\pi_-)_{a_0c_0a_1\dots a_n;b_1\dots b_n} \quad \text{for } \theta_1>\dots>\theta_{n-1}\geq\theta_n, \qquad \text{(III.17)}\end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand side contains the n- and the $n-2$-particle form factors, and $\pi_- = \pi-\epsilon$. Near the annihilation pole at $\theta_{n-1\,n} = -\pi i$ the form factors are of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}}(\theta_n-i\pi_-,\theta_1,\dots,\theta_{n-1})_{a_0c_0a_1\dots a_n;b_1\dots b_n} &= \frac{1}{\theta_{n-1}-\theta_n+i\epsilon}\,h(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)_{a_0c_0a_1\dots a_n;b_1\dots b_n},\\ &\text{and}\\ \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_{n-1},\theta_n+i\pi_-)_{a_0c_0a_1\dots a_n;b_1\dots b_n} &= \frac{1}{\theta_{n-1}-\theta_n-i\epsilon}\,h(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)_{a_0c_0a_1\dots a_n;b_1\dots b_n},\end{aligned}$$

where $h(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)_{a_0c_0a_1\dots a_n;b_1\dots b_n}$ is an analytic function in $\theta_{n-1\,n}$. We use the identity

$$\frac{1}{\theta_{n-1}-\theta_n\pm i\epsilon} = \mathbf{P}\left\{\frac{1}{\theta_{n-1}-\theta_n}\right\}\mp i\pi\delta(\theta_{n-1}-\theta_n),$$

where $\mathbf{P}\left\{f(\theta_{n-1},\theta_n)\right\}$ is the principal value of $f(\theta_{n-1},\theta_n)$. We apply Watson's theorem to Equation (III.17), and find

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle A,\theta_n,b_n,a_n|\mathcal{O}_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1;\dots;A,\theta_l,b_l,a_l;P,\theta_{l+1},a_{l+1},b_{l+1};\dots;P,\theta_{2l},a_{2l},b_{2l};A,\theta_{n-1},b_{n-1},a_{n-1}\rangle\\ &\quad= \langle A,\theta_n,b_n,a_n|A,\theta_{n-1},b'_{n-1},a'_{n-1}\rangle S_{AA}(\theta_{1\,n-1})^{b'_{n-1}a'_{n-1};b'_1a'_1}_{d_1c_1;b_1a_1}\times\dots\times S_{AA}(\theta_{l\,n-1})^{d_{l-1}c_{l-1};b'_la'_l}_{d_lc_l;b_lc_l}\\ &\qquad\times S_{AP}(\theta_{n-1\,l+1})^{d_lc_l;a'_{l+1}b'_{l+1}}_{c_{l+1}d_{l+1};a_{l+1}b_{l+1}}\times\dots\times S_{AP}(\theta_{n-1\,2l})^{c_{2l-1}d_{2l-1};a'_{2l}b'_{2l}}_{c_{2l}d_{2l};a_{2l}b_{2l}}\times\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_{2l})_{a_0c_0a'_1\dots a'_{2l};b'_1\dots b'_{2l}}\\ &\qquad+\left(\mathbf{P}\left\{\frac{1}{\theta_{n-1}-\theta_n}\right\}-i\pi\delta(\theta_{n-1}-\theta_n)\right)\,h(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)_{a_0c_0a_1\dots a_n;b_1\dots b_n}\\ &\quad= \langle A,\theta_n,b_n,a_n|A,\theta_{n-1},b_{n-1},a_{n-1}\rangle\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_{2l})_{a_0c_0a_1\dots a_{2l};b_1\dots b_{2l}}\\ &\qquad+\left(\mathbf{P}\left\{\frac{1}{\theta_{n-1}-\theta_n}\right\}+i\pi\delta(\theta_{n-1}-\theta_n)\right)\,h(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)_{a_0c_0a_1\dots a_n;b_1\dots b_n}. \qquad \text{(III.18)}\end{aligned}$$

We will use the normalization $\langle A, \theta_n, b_n, a_n | A, \theta_{n-1}, b_{n-1}, a_{n-1}\rangle = 4\pi \delta_{a_{n-1}a_n}\delta_{b_{n-1}b_n}\delta(\theta_{n-1}-\theta_n)$. Comparing the terms proportional to $\delta(\theta_{n-1}-\theta_n)$ in (III.18), we recover the annihilation pole axiom [9]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&h(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1})_{a_0 c_0 a_1 \dots a_n; b_1 \dots b_n} \\
&\quad = \quad \mathrm{Res}|_{\theta_{n-1\,n}=-\pi i} \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2l}, \theta_{n-1}, \theta_n)_{a_0 c_0 a_1 \dots a_{2l} a_{n-1} a_n; b_1 \dots b_{2l} b_{n-1} b_n} \\
&\quad = \quad 2i\, \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2l})_{a_0 c_0 a'_1 \dots a'_{2l}; b'_1 \dots b'_{2l}} \delta_{a'_{n-1} a_n} \delta_{b'_{n-1} b_n} \\
&\qquad \times \Big(\delta_{a'_1 a_1} \dots \delta_{a'_{n-1} a_{n-1}} \delta_{b'_1 b_1} \dots \delta_{b'_{n-1} b_{n-1}} - S_{AA}(\theta_{1\,n-1})^{b'_{n-1} a'_{n-1}; b'_1 a'_1}_{d_1 c_1; b_1 a_1} \times \dots \times S_{AA}(\theta_{l\,n-1})^{d_{l-1} c_{l-1}; b'_l a'_l}_{d_l c_l; b_l c_l} \\
&\qquad \times S_{AP}(\theta_{n-1\,l+1})^{d_l c_l; a'_{l+1} b'_{l+1}}_{c_{l+1} d_{l+1}; a_{l+1} b_{l+1}} \times \dots \times S_{AP}(\theta_{n-1\,2l})^{c_{2l-1} d_{2l-1}; a'_{2l} b'_{2l}}_{c_{2l} d_{2l}; a_{2l} b_{2l}} \Big) . \qquad \text{(III.19)}
\end{aligned}$$

IV. TWO-PARTICLE FORM FACTORS AT FINITE N

In this section, we find the exact two-particle form factor of the current operator, for arbitrary $N \geq 2$. For $N = 2$, the principal chiral model is equivalent to an $O(4)$-symmetric vector model. The form factors of currents of the $O(4)$ model were found in Reference [10].

Our result for the two-particle form factor, for general N, is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0 | j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0 c_0} | A, \theta_1, b_1, a_1; P, \theta_2, a_2, b_2 \rangle \\
&\qquad = (p_1 - p_2)_\mu F_1(\theta) \left(\delta_{a_0 a_2} \delta_{b_1 b_2} \delta_{c_0 a_1} - \frac{1}{N} \delta_{a_0 c_0} \delta_{b_1 b_2} \delta_{a_1 a_2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $F_1(\theta)$ satisfies equation (III.11). We insert

$$F_1(\theta) = \frac{g(\theta)}{\theta + \pi i},$$

into (III.11), finding

$$g(\theta - 2\pi i) = \hat{S}(\theta, N) g(\theta). \qquad \text{(IV.1)}$$

We solve Equation (IV.1) by a contour-integration method first used in Reference [10]. We define a contour C to be that from $-\infty$ to ∞ and from $\infty + 2\pi i$ to $-\infty + 2\pi i$, bounding the strip in which the form factor is holomorphic. Then

$$\ln g(\theta) = \int_C \frac{dz}{4\pi i} \coth \frac{z-\theta}{2} \ln g(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{4\pi i} \coth \frac{z-\theta}{2} \ln \frac{g(z)}{g(z+2\pi i)}.$$

We differentiate both sides with respect to θ, and use (IV.1) to write

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} [\ln g(\theta)] = \frac{1}{8\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\sinh^2 \frac{1}{2}(z-\theta)} \ln \hat{S}(z, N). \qquad \text{(IV.2)}$$

The solution to (IV.2) is

$$g(\theta) = g \exp \int_0^\infty dx\, A(x, N) \frac{\sin^2[x(\pi i - \theta)/2\pi]}{\sinh x}, \qquad \text{(IV.3)}$$

where the function $A(x, N)$ is defined by

$$\hat{S}(\theta, N) = \exp \int_0^\infty dx\, A(x, N) \sinh \left(\frac{x\theta}{\pi i} \right), \qquad \text{(IV.4)}$$

and g is a constant. Note that expanding the S matrix in powers of $1/N$ yields $A(x, N) = \frac{1}{N^2} B(x) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N^3})$.

To express the function $\hat{S}(\theta, N)$, presented in (II.6), in the form (IV.4), we use the integral formula of the gamma function [11], [12],

$$\Gamma(z) = \exp \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x} \left[\frac{e^{-xz} - e^{-x}}{1 - e^{-x}} + (z-1) e^{-x} \right], \quad \text{for } \mathrm{Re}\, z > 0.$$

Then

$$\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{i\hat{\theta}}{2\pi}+1\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{-i\hat{\theta}}{2\pi}-\frac{1}{N}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{i\hat{\theta}}{2\pi}+1-\frac{1}{N}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{-i\hat{\theta}}{2\pi}\right)}\right]^2 = \exp\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x}\frac{4e^{-x}\left(e^{2x/N}-1\right)}{1-e^{-2x}}\sinh\left(\frac{x\theta}{\pi i}\right), \tag{IV.5}$$

for $N > 2$. We use the formula [9]

$$\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{2}(z+a)}{\sin\frac{\pi}{2}(z-a)} = \exp 2\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x}\frac{\sinh x(1-z)}{\sinh x}\sinh(xa), \quad \text{for } 0<z<1,$$

to write the CDD factor as

$$\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{2}-\frac{\pi i}{N}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{2}+\frac{\pi i}{N}\right)} = \frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\left(1-\frac{2}{N}\right)-\frac{\theta}{\pi i}\right)}{\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\left(1-\frac{2}{N}\right)+\frac{\theta}{\pi i}\right)} = \exp\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x}\frac{-2\sinh(2x/N)}{\sinh x}\sinh\left(\frac{x\theta}{\pi i}\right), \tag{IV.6}$$

for $N > 2$. Combining (IV.5) and (IV.6) gives

$$\hat{S}(\theta,N) = \exp\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x}\left[\frac{-2\sinh(2x/N)}{\sinh x}+\frac{4e^{-x}\left(e^{2x/N}-1\right)}{1-2^{-2x}}\right]\sinh\left(\frac{x\theta}{\pi i}\right). \tag{IV.7}$$

From (IV.1) and (IV.3), the form factor is

$$F_1(\theta) = \frac{g}{(\theta+\pi i)}\exp\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x}\left[\frac{-2\sinh\left(\frac{2x}{N}\right)}{\sinh x}+\frac{4e^{-x}\left(e^{2x/N}-1\right)}{1-e^{-2x}}\right]\frac{\sin^2[x(\pi i-\theta)/2\pi]}{\sinh x}. \tag{IV.8}$$

The condition $F_1(\pi i) = 1$ implies $g = 2\pi i$.

V. FOUR-PARTICLE FORM FACTORS

Next we find the four-excitation form factor of the current operator, in the large-N limit. Only the form factor with two particles and two antiparticles is non-zero, because of the global symmetry. The most general Lorentz- and $SU(N)\times SU(N)$-invariant four-particle form factor, respecting the tracelessness of the current operator is

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1;A,\theta_2,b_2,a_2;P,\theta_3,a_3,b_3;P,\theta_4,a_4,b_4\rangle\\ &\quad= \langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle\\ &\quad= \frac{1}{N}[p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4]_\mu\,\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)\cdot\vec{D}_{a_0c_0a_1a_2a_3a_4;b_1b_2b_3b_4},\end{aligned} \tag{V.1}$$

for $\theta_1>\theta_2>\theta_3>\theta_4$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1;P,\theta_2,a_2,b_2;A,\theta_3,b_3,a_3;P,\theta_4,a_4,b_4\rangle\\ &\quad= \langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle\\ &\quad= \frac{1}{N}[p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4]_\mu\,\vec{G}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)\cdot\vec{D}_{a_0c_0a_1a_2a_3a_4;b_1b_2b_3b_4},\end{aligned} \tag{V.2}$$

for $\theta_1>\theta_3>\theta_2>\theta_4$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1;P,\theta_2,a_2,b_2;P,\theta_3,a_3,b_3;A,\theta_4,b_4,a_4\rangle\\ &\quad= \langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}|0\rangle\\ &\quad= \frac{1}{N}[p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4]_\mu\,\vec{H}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)\cdot\vec{D}_{a_0c_0a_1a_2a_3a_4;b_1b_2b_3b_4},\end{aligned} \tag{V.3}$$

for $\theta_1>\theta_3>\theta_4>\theta_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}|P,\theta_1,a_1,b_1;A,\theta_2,b_2,a_2;P,\theta_3,a_3,b_3;A,\theta_4,b_4,a_4\rangle\\ &\quad= \langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}|0\rangle\\ &\quad= \frac{1}{N}[p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4]_\mu\,\vec{K}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)\cdot\vec{D}_{a_0c_0a_1a_2a_3a_4;b_1b_2b_3b_4},\end{aligned} \tag{V.4}$$

for $\theta_3 > \theta_1 > \theta_4 > \theta_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}|P,\theta_1,a_1,b_1;P,\theta_2,a_2,b_2;A,\theta_3,b_3,a_3;A,\theta_4,b_4,a_4\rangle \\
&\quad = \langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}|0\rangle \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{N}[p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4]_\mu\,\vec{L}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)\cdot\vec{D}_{a_0c_0a_1a_2a_3a_4;b_1b_2b_3b_4}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{V.5}$$

for $\theta_3 > \theta_4 > \theta_1 > \theta_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}|P,\theta_1,a_1,b_1;A,\theta_2,b_2,a_2;A,\theta_3,b_3,a_3;P,\theta_4,a_4,b_4\rangle \\
&\quad = \langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3a_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{N}[p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4]_\mu\,\vec{Q}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)\cdot\vec{D}_{a_0c_0a_1a_2a_3a_4;b_1b_2b_3b_4}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{V.6}$$

for $\theta_3 > \theta_1 > \theta_2 > \theta_4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_2,b_2,a_2;A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1;P,\theta_3,a_3,b_3;P,\theta_4,a_4,b_4\rangle \\
&\quad = \langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{N}[p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4]_\mu\,\vec{F}(\theta_2,\theta_1,\theta_3,\theta_4)\cdot\vec{D}_{a_0c_0a_1a_2a_3a_4;b_1b_2b_3b_4}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{V.7}$$

for $\theta_2 > \theta_1 > \theta_3, > \theta_4$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1;A,\theta_2,b_2,a_2;P,\theta_4,a_4,b_4;P,\theta_3,a_3,b_3\rangle \\
&\quad = \langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}|0\rangle \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{N}[p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4]_\mu\,\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_4,\theta_3)\cdot\vec{D}_{a_0c_0a_1a_2a_3a_4;b_1b_2b_3b_4}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{V.8}$$

for $\theta_1 > \theta_2 > \theta_4 > \theta_3$, where we define the eight-component vectors

$$[\vec{D}_{a_0c_0a_1a_2a_3a_4;b_1b_2b_3b_4}] = \begin{pmatrix}
\delta_{a_0a_3}\delta_{a_1c_0}\delta_{a_2a_4}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_1a_3}\delta_{a_2a_4}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4} \\
\delta_{a_0a_3}\delta_{a_1c_0}\delta_{a_2a_4}\delta_{b_1b_4}\delta_{b_2b_3} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_1a_3}\delta_{a_2a_4}\delta_{b_1b_4}\delta_{b_2b_3} \\
\delta_{a_0a_4}\delta_{a_1c_0}\delta_{a_2a_3}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{a_2a_3}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4} \\
\delta_{a_0a_4}\delta_{a_1c_0}\delta_{a_2a_3}\delta_{b_1b_4}\delta_{b_2b_3} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{a_2a_3}\delta_{b_1b_4}\delta_{b_2b_3} \\
\delta_{a_0a_3}\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{a_2c_0}\delta_{b_1b_4}\delta_{b_2b_3} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_2a_3}\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{b_1b_4}\delta_{b_2b_3} \\
\delta_{a_0a_3}\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{a_2c_0}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_2a_3}\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4} \\
\delta_{a_0a_4}\delta_{a_1a_3}\delta_{a_2c_0}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_2a_4}\delta_{a_1a_3}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4} \\
\delta_{a_0a_4}\delta_{a_1a_3}\delta_{a_2a_0}\delta_{b_1b_4}\delta_{b_2b_3} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_2a_4}\delta_{a_1a_3}\delta_{b_1b_4}\delta_{b_2b_3}
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{V.9}$$

$$[\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)] = \begin{pmatrix} F_1(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) \\ F_2(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) \\ F_3(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) \\ F_4(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) \\ F_5(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) \\ F_6(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) \\ F_7(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) \\ F_8(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) \end{pmatrix},$$

and similarly for $\vec{G}$, $\vec{H}$, $\vec{K}$, $\vec{L}$ and $\vec{Q}$.

Watson's theorem relates the form factors with different ordering of rapidities, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle \\ &\quad = S_{AP}(\theta_{23})^{d_2c_2;c_3d_3}_{a_3b_3;b_2a_2}\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{d_2c_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{c_3d_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}|0\rangle \\ &\quad = S_{AP}(\theta_{24})^{d_2c_2;c_4d_4}_{a_4b_4;b_2a_2}\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{d_2c_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{c_4d_4}|0\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}|0\rangle \\ &\quad = S_{AP}(\theta_{13})^{d_1c_1;c_3d_3}_{a_3b_3;b_1a_1}\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{d_1c_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{c_3d_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}|0\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_1}|0\rangle \\ &\quad = S_{AP}(\theta_{14})^{d_1c_1;c_4d_4}_{a_4b_4;b_1a_1}\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{d_1c_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{c_4d_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}|0\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle \\ &\quad = S_{AP}(\theta_{13})^{d_1c_1;c_3d_3}_{a_3b_3;b_1a_1}\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{d_1c_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{c_3d_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle \\ &\quad = S_{AA}(\theta_{12})^{d_2c_2;d_1c_1}_{b_1a_1;b_2a_2}\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{d_2c_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{d_1c_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle \\ &\quad = S_{PP}(\theta_{34})^{c_4d_4;c_3d_3}_{a_3b_3;a_4b_4}\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{c_4d_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{c_3d_3}|0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

These imply, respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\vec{G}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3\,,\theta_4)\\
&= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
\frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{23}} & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{23}}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
\frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{23}} & 0 & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{23}}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{23}} & 0\\
0 & \frac{-1}{N}\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{23}}+\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{23}^2}\right) & \frac{-1}{N}\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{23}}+\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{23}^2}\right) & \left(1-\frac{4\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{23}}-\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{23}^2}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{N}\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{23}}+\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{23}^2}\right)\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{23}}\right) & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{23}} & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{23}} & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{23}}\right)
\end{pmatrix}\\
&\quad\times\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)\\
&\equiv \overleftrightarrow{M}_1(\theta_2,\theta_3)\vec{F}(\theta_1\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{V.10}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\vec{H}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3\,,\theta_4)\\
&= \begin{pmatrix}
\left(1-\frac{4\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{24}}-\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{24}^2}\right) & \frac{-1}{N}\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{24}}+\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{24}^2}\right) & \frac{-1}{N}\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{24}}+\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{24}^2}\right) & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{N}\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{24}}-\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{24}^2}\right) & 0 & 0\\
0 & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{24}}\right) & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{24}} & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{24}^2} & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{24}}\right) & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{24}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{24}} & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{24}}\right) & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{24}}\right) & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{24}}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}\\
&\quad\times\vec{G}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)\\
&\equiv \overleftrightarrow{M}_2(\theta_2,\theta_4)\vec{G}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{V.11}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\vec{K}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3\,,\theta_4)\\
&= \begin{pmatrix}
\left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{13}}\right) & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{13}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{13}}\right) & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{13}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{13}} & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{13}}\right) & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{N}\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{13}}+\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{13}^2}\right) & \left(1-\frac{4\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{13}}-\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{13}^2}\right) & \frac{-1}{N}\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{13}}+\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{13}^2}\right)\\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{13}} & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{13}} & 0 & 0 & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{13}}\right)
\end{pmatrix}\\
&\quad\times\vec{H}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)\\
&\equiv \overleftrightarrow{M}_3(\theta_1,\theta_3)\vec{H}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{V.12}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\vec{L}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)\\
&\quad = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
\frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{14}} & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{14}}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{14}} & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{14}}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(1-\frac{4\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{14}}-\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{14}^2}\right) & \frac{-1}{N}\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{14}}+\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{14}^2}\right) & 0 & \frac{-1}{N}\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{14}}+\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\theta}_{14}^2}\right)\\
\frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{14}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{14}}\right) & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{14}} & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{14}} & \left(1-\frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{14}}\right)
\end{pmatrix}\\
&\qquad \times\vec{K}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)\\
&\quad \equiv \overleftrightarrow{M}_4(\theta_1,\theta_4)\vec{K}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \qquad \text{(V.13)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\vec{Q}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)=\overleftrightarrow{M}_3(\theta_1,\theta_3)\vec{G}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \qquad \text{(V.14)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 0 & 0\\
\frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 1 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 1\\
0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}}\\
1 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 0 & 0\\
\frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}}\\
0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}} & 0
\end{pmatrix}\vec{F}(\theta_2,\theta_1,\theta_3,\theta_4)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)\\
&\equiv \overleftrightarrow{I}_1(\theta_1,\theta_2)\vec{F}(\theta_2,\theta_1,\theta_3,\theta_4)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \qquad \text{(V.15)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
\frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & 0 & 1 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
\frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & 1 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
1 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & 1 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & 1\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & 1 & \frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{34}} & 0
\end{pmatrix}\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_4,\theta_3)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)\\
&\equiv \overleftrightarrow{I}_2(\theta_3,\theta_4)\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_4,\theta_3)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \qquad \text{(V.16)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we apply the Smirnov periodicity axiom (III.5):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1-2\pi i)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle\\
&\quad= \langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}|0\rangle,\\[1em]
&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2-2\pi i)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}|0\rangle\\
&\quad= \langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}|0\rangle,\\[1em]
&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3-2\pi i)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}|0\rangle\\
&\quad= \langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}|0\rangle,\\[1em]
&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4-2\pi i)_{a_4b_4}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}|0\rangle\\
&\quad= \langle 0|j^L_\mu(0)_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

which imply, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{F}(\theta_1-2\pi i,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \vec{H}(\theta_2,\theta_1,\theta_3,\theta_4), &\text{(V.17)}\\
\vec{H}(\theta_2-2\pi i,\theta_1,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \vec{L}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4), &\text{(V.18)}\\
\vec{L}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3-2\pi i,\theta_4) &= \vec{Q}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_4,\theta_3), &\text{(V.19)}\\
\vec{Q}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_4-2\pi i,\theta_3) &= \vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4). &\text{(V.20)}
\end{aligned}$$

We combine Watson's theorem with the periodicity axiom, to express Equations (V.17), (V.18), (V.19) and (V.20) in terms of only $\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)$. We combine (V.17) with (V.13), (V.12) and (V.15), and find

$$\vec{F}(\theta_1-2\pi i,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) = \overleftrightarrow{M}_4(\theta_1,\theta_4)\overleftrightarrow{M}_3(\theta_1,\theta_3)\left[\overleftrightarrow{I}_1(\theta_1,\theta_2)\right]^{-1}\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4). \tag{V.21}$$

Combining (V.18) with (V.11), (V.10) and (V.15) gives

$$\left[\overleftrightarrow{I}_1(\theta_1,\theta_2-2\pi i)\right]^{-1}\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2-2\pi i,\theta_3,\theta_4) = \overleftrightarrow{M}_2(\theta_2,\theta_4)\overleftrightarrow{M}_1(\theta_2,\theta_4)\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4). \tag{V.22}$$

Combining (V.19) with (V.12), (V.10) and (V.16) gives

$$\overleftrightarrow{M}_3(\theta_1,\theta_3-2\pi i)\overleftrightarrow{M}_1(\theta_2,\theta_3-2\pi i)\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) = \left[\overleftrightarrow{I}_2(\theta_3,\theta_4)\right]^{-1}\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4). \tag{V.23}$$

Finally, we combine (V.20) with (V.13), (V.11) and (V.16) to find

$$\overleftrightarrow{M}_4(\theta_1,\theta_4-2\pi i)\overleftrightarrow{M}_2(\theta_2,\theta_4-2\pi i)\left[\overleftrightarrow{I}_2(\theta_3,\theta_4-2\pi i)\right]^{-1}\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4-2\pi i) = \vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4). \tag{V.24}$$

The set of equations (V.21), (V.22), (V.23) and (V.24) are difficult to solve, for finite N. In the large-N limit, the matrices $\overleftrightarrow{M}_{1,2,3,4}$ become diagonal and mutually commute, and the matrices $\overleftrightarrow{I}_{1,2}$ become their own inverses. This greatly simplifies the problem, allowing us to find the form factors. We expand the form factors in powers of $1/N$ as $\vec{F}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) = \vec{F}^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)+\frac{1}{N}\vec{F}^1(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)+\dots$, simplifying the periodicity conditions for $\vec{F}^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)$. We combine (V.21) and (V.22) to get

$$\vec{F}^0(\theta_1-2\pi i,\theta_2-2\pi i,\theta_3,\theta_4) = \overleftrightarrow{M}_4(\theta_1,\theta_4)\overleftrightarrow{M}_3(\theta_1,\theta_3)\overleftrightarrow{M}_2(\theta_2,\theta_4)\overleftrightarrow{M}_1(\theta_2,\theta_3)\vec{F}^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4), \tag{V.25}$$

or explicitly, in terms of the components of $\vec{F}^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1^0(\theta_1-2\pi i,\theta_2-2\pi i,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \left(\frac{\theta_{13}+\pi i}{\theta_{13}-\pi i}\right)\left(\frac{\theta_{24}+\pi i}{\theta_{24}-\pi i}\right)^2 F_1^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4),\\
F_2^0(\theta_1-2\pi i,\theta_2-2\pi i,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \left(\frac{\theta_{14}+\pi i}{\theta_{14}-\pi i}\right)\left(\frac{\theta_{23}+\pi i}{\theta_{23}-\pi i}\right)\left(\frac{\theta_{24}+\pi i}{\theta_{24}-\pi i}\right) F_2^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4),\\
F_3^0(\theta_1-2\pi i,\theta_2-2\pi i,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \left(\frac{\theta_{13}+\pi i}{\theta_{13}-\pi i}\right)\left(\frac{\theta_{23}+\pi i}{\theta_{23}-\pi i}\right)\left(\frac{\theta_{24}+\pi i}{\theta_{24}-\pi i}\right) F_3^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4),\\
F_4^0(\theta_1-2\pi i,\theta_2-2\pi i,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \left(\frac{\theta_{14}+\pi i}{\theta_{14}-\pi i}\right)\left(\frac{\theta_{23}+\pi i}{\theta_{23}-\pi i}\right)^2 F_4^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4),\\
F_5^0(\theta_1-2\pi i,\theta_2-2\pi i,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \left(\frac{\theta_{14}+\pi i}{\theta_{14}-\pi i}\right)^2\left(\frac{\theta_{23}+\pi i}{\theta_{23}-\pi i}\right) F_5^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4),\\
F_6^0(\theta_1-2\pi i,\theta_2-2\pi i,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \left(\frac{\theta_{14}+\pi i}{\theta_{14}-\pi i}\right)\left(\frac{\theta_{13}+\pi i}{\theta_{13}-\pi i}\right)\left(\frac{\theta_{24}+\pi i}{\theta_{24}-\pi i}\right) F_6^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4),\\
F_7^0(\theta_1-2\pi i,\theta_2-2\pi i,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \left(\frac{\theta_{13}+\pi i}{\theta_{13}-\pi i}\right)^2\left(\frac{\theta_{24}+\pi i}{\theta_{24}-\pi i}\right) F_7^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4),\\
F_8^0(\theta_1-2\pi i,\theta_2-2\pi i,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \left(\frac{\theta_{14}+\pi i}{\theta_{14}-\pi i}\right)\left(\frac{\theta_{13}+\pi i}{\theta_{13}-\pi i}\right)\left(\frac{\theta_{23}+\pi i}{\theta_{23}-\pi i}\right) F_8^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4).
\end{aligned}$$

The solution that satisfies (V.25), (V.15) and (V.16) is

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \frac{g_1(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)}{(\theta_{13}+\pi i)(\theta_{24}+\pi i)^2},\\
F_2^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \frac{g_2(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)}{(\theta_{14}+\pi i)(\theta_{23}+\pi i)(\theta_{24}+\pi i)},\\
F_3^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \frac{g_2(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_4,\theta_3)}{(\theta_{13}+\pi i)(\theta_{23}+\pi i)(\theta_{24}+\pi i)},\\
F_4^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \frac{g_1(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_4,\theta_3)}{(\theta_{14}+\pi i)(\theta_{23}+\pi i)^2},\\
F_5^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \frac{g_1(\theta_2,\theta_1,\theta_3,\theta_4)}{(\theta_{14}+\pi i)^2(\theta_{23}+\pi i)},\\
F_6^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \frac{g_2(\theta_2,\theta_1,\theta_3,\theta_4)}{(\theta_{14}+\pi i)(\theta_{13}+\pi i)(\theta_{24}+\pi i)},\\
F_7^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \frac{g_1(\theta_2,\theta_1,\theta_4,\theta_3)}{(\theta_{13}+\pi i)^2(\theta_{24}+\pi i)},\\
F_8^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) &= \frac{g_2(\theta_2,\theta_1,\theta_4,\theta_3)}{(\theta_{14}+\pi i)(\theta_{13}+\pi i)(\theta_{23}+\pi i)},
\end{aligned} \tag{V.26}$$

where the functions $g_1(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)$ and $g_2(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)$ are periodic under $\theta_{1,2}\to\theta_{1,2}-2\pi i$.

Instead of the analyis of the previous paragraph, we could have combined (V.23) and (V.24) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overleftrightarrow{M}_4(\theta_1,\theta_4-2\pi i)\,\overleftrightarrow{M}_3(\theta_1,\theta_3-2\pi i)\overleftrightarrow{M}_2(\theta_2,\theta_4-2\pi i)\overleftrightarrow{M}_1(\theta_2,\theta_3-2\pi i)\vec{F}^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3-2\pi i,\theta_4-2\pi i)\\
&\qquad = \vec{F}^0(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4).
\end{aligned} \tag{V.27}$$

The condition (V.27) is equivalent to (V.25). The solution of (V.27) is (V.26)

The minimal choice for the functions $g_{1,2}(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4)$ is to set them equal to constants, $g_1(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) = g_1$, $g_2(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3,\theta_4) = g_2$. These constants are fixed using the annihilation-pole axiom. There is an annihilation pole at $\theta_{24}=-\pi i$. The annihilation-pole axiom (Equation (III.19)) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Res}|_{\theta_{24}=-\pi i}\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}_A^\dagger(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}_P^\dagger(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}\mathfrak{A}_A^\dagger(\theta_2)_{b_2a_2}\mathfrak{A}_P^\dagger(\theta_4)_{a_4b_4}|0\rangle\\
&\qquad = 2i\Big\{\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}_A^\dagger(\theta_1)_{b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}_P^\dagger(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}|0\rangle\delta_{a_2a_4}\delta_{b_2b_4}\\
&\qquad\quad -\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}_A^\dagger(\theta_1)_{b_1'a_1'}\mathfrak{A}_P(\theta_3)_{a_3'b_3'}|0\rangle\;\delta_{a_2'a_4}\delta_{b_2'b_4}S_{AA}(\theta_{12})_{d_1c_1;b_1a_1}^{b_2'a_2';b_1'a_1'}S_{AP}(\theta_{23})_{a_3b_3;b_2a_2}^{d_1c_1;a_3'b_3'}\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{V.28}$$

We substitute (III.13) into the right-hand side of (V.28) to find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1\,)_{\,b_1a_1}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_P(\theta_3)_{a_3b_3}|0\rangle\delta_{a_2a_4}\delta_{b_2b_4}\\
&-\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{a_0c_0}\mathfrak{A}^\dagger_A(\theta_1\,)_{\,b_1'a_1'}\mathfrak{A}_P(\theta_3)_{a_3'b_3'}|0\rangle\delta_{a_2'a_4}\delta_{b_2'b_4}S_{AA}(\theta_{12})^{b_2'a_2';b_1'a_1'}_{d_1c_1;b_1a_1}S_{AP}(\theta_{23})^{d_1c_1;a_3'b_3'}_{a_3b_3;b_2a_2}\\
&\qquad= \frac{2\pi}{(\theta_{13}+\pi i)}\left\{\frac{2\pi i}{N\hat{\theta}_{23}}\left(\delta_{a_0a_4}\delta_{a_2a_3}\delta_{c_0a_1}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4}-\frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{a_2a_3}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4}\right)\right.\\
&\qquad\quad+\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{-2\pi i}{\hat{\theta}_{23}}+\frac{2\pi i}{\theta_{12}}-\frac{4\pi^2}{\theta_{12}\hat{\theta}_{23}}\right)\left(\delta_{a_0a_3}\delta_{a_2a_4}\delta_{a_1c_0}\delta_{b_2b_3}\delta_{b_1b_4}-\frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_1a_3}\delta_{a_2a_4}\delta_{b_2b_3}\delta_{b_1b_4}\right)\\
&\qquad\quad\left.\frac{-2\pi i}{N\theta_{12}}\left(\delta_{a_0a_3}\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{a_2c_0}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4}-\frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_2a_3}\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4}\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (V.28) yields for the constants $g_2 = 8\pi^2 i$, $g_1 = 0$. We notice that the double poles present in (V.26) vanish, because $g_1 = 0$. The first term on the right-hand side of (V.28) is of order $1/N$. This is the reason we introduced a factor of $1/N$ in Equations (V.1) through (V.8).

The minimal four-particle form factor satisfying all of Smirnov's axioms for large N is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0|j^L_\mu(0\,)_{\,a_0c_0}|A,\theta_1,b_1,a_1;A,\theta_2,b_2,a_2;P,\theta_3,a_3,b_3;P,\theta_4,a_4,b_4\rangle\\
&\quad= [p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4]_\mu\,\frac{8\pi^2 i}{N}\\
&\quad\times\left\{\frac{1}{(\theta_{14}+\pi i)(\theta_{23}+\pi i)(\theta_{24}+\pi i)}\left(\delta_{a_0a_3}\delta_{a_1c_0}\delta_{a_2a_4}\delta_{b_1b_4}\delta_{b_2b_3}-\frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_1a_3}\delta_{a_2a_4}\delta_{b_1b_4}\delta_{b_2b_3}\right)\right.\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{(\theta_{13}+\pi i)(\theta_{23}+\pi i)(\theta_{24}+\pi i)}\left(\delta_{a_0a_4}\delta_{a_1c_0}\delta_{a_2a_3}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4}-\frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{a_2a_3}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4}\right)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{(\theta_{14}+\pi i)(\theta_{13}+\pi i)(\theta_{24}+\pi i)}\left(\delta_{a_0a_3}\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{a_2c_0}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4}-\frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_2a_3}\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{b_1b_3}\delta_{b_2b_4}\right)\\
&\quad\left.+\frac{1}{(\theta_{14}+\pi i)(\theta_{13}+\pi i)(\theta_{23}+\pi i)}\left(\delta_{a_0a_4}\delta_{a_1a_3}\delta_{a_2c_0}\delta_{b_1b_4}\delta_{b_2b_3}-\frac{1}{N}\delta_{a_0c_0}\delta_{a_2a_4}\delta_{a_1a_3}\delta_{b_1b_4}\delta_{b_2b_3}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}\tag{V.29}$$

which is the main result of this section.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We found the two-particle form factor of the principal-chiral-model current operator, for general N. We were only able to find the four-particle form factor for large N, because the S matrix is much simpler in this limit.

Form factors of more excitations can be calculated at large N, using this method. As we add particles, the number of functions to determine grows very fast. This will be tedious, but perhaps not impossible. We hope it is possible to calculate all the form factors in the planar limit. We could use this to find Green's functions and compare with perturbation theory.

We are interested in applying the form factors found here to (2+1)-dimensional anisotropic Yang-Mills theory. This is a theory were the coupling constants are weak, but different in different directions. The form factors of the $O(4)$-symmetric sigma model were used to calculate the string tension [13], and the glueball masses [14] of the $SU(2)$ gauge theory. We can apply our results to extend this treatment beyond the $SU(2)$ gauge group.

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank my advisor Peter Orland for all his suggestions and helpful discussions. This project was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, under Grant No. PHY0855387.

---

[1] P.B. Wiegmann, Phys. Lett. **142B** (1984) 173.
[2] E. Abadalla, M.C.B. Abadalla and M. Lima-Santos, Phys. Lett. **140B** (1984) 71.

[3] F.A. Smirnov, **Form Factors in Completely Integrable Models of Quantum Field Theory**, Adv. Series in Math. Phys. **14**, World Scientific (1992).

[4] P. Orland, Phys. Rev. **D84** (2011) 105005; P. Orland, arXiv:1205.1763v1 [hep-th] (2012).

[5] B. Schroer, T.T. Truong and P. Weisz, Phys. Lett. **63B** (1976) 422.

[6] B. Berg, M. Karowski and P. Weisz, Nucl. Phys. **B134** (1978) 125.

[7] V. Kurak and J.A. Swieca, Phys. Lett **82B** (1979) 289.

[8] A.B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, Ann. Phys. **120** (1979) 253.

[9] H. Babujian, A. Fring, M. Karowski and A. Zapletal, Nucl. Phys. **B538** (1999) 535; H. Babujian and M. Karowski, Nucl. Phys. **B620** (2002) 407.

[10] M. Karowski and P. Weisz, Nucl. Phys. **B139** (1978) 455.

[11] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, **A Course of Modern Analysis,** Cambridge University Press, Chapter 12, pg. 249.

[12] P. Weisz, Phys. Lett. **B67** (1977) 179.

[13] P. Orland, Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 085001; Phys. Rev. **D77**, (2008) 025035.

[14] P. Orland, Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 101702.